REMARKS

I. <u>Introduction</u>

At the time of the Office Action dated December 2, 2005, claims 1-20 were pending. In this Amendment, claims 1, 2, 6-12 and 16-20 have been amended, and claims 5 and 15 have been canceled. Care has been exercised to avoid the introduction of new matter. Specifically, adequate descriptive support for the amendment can be found in, for example, Fig. 4 and relevant description of the specification. In addition, claims 7, 10, 16, 17, 19 and 20 have been amended in response to the 112, second paragraph rejection, discussed below.

II. The Rejection of Claims 5, 7, 10, 15-17, 19 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph

The Examiner asserted that in claims 7, 10, 17 and 20, the word "preferentially" is relative and renders the limitation indefinite. In response, Applicants have amended those claims to delete the word "preferentially."

The Examiner also asserted that the language in claims 16 and 19 does not allow one of ordinary skill in the art to determine the scope of the claimed invention. Applicants have amended claims 16 and 19 to specify elements and their interrelationship in order to clarify the scope of the claims.

Applicants believe these amendments are fully responsive to the Examiner's concerns. It is noted that the rejection of claims 5 and 15 has been rendered moot by cancellation of those claims. Withdrawal of the rejection of claims 7, 10, 16, 17, 19 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph is respectfully solicited.

Application No.: 10/687,644

III. The Rejection of Claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, 16, 17, 19 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e)¹

In the statement of the rejection, the Examiner asserted that Kolchinsky discloses a multibus sequential processor identically corresponding to what is claimed.

In response, Kolchinsky does not disclose an information processing method including all the limitations recited in independent claim 1, as amended. Specifically, Kolchinsky does not disclose, among other things, respectively assigning to different memory banks a plurality of data sets each of which is <u>simultaneously referred to in the same execution cycle</u> by memory reference to be performed in accordance with an arithmetic instruction, as recited in claim 1.

Kolchinsky discloses that address sets, data sets and arithmetic circuits are interconnected through a bus in order to process instructions in a <u>synchronous pipeline manner</u>. The reference enables to process certain data step by step in a plurality of cycles.

On the other hand, the claimed invention is not directed to pipeline processing. The claimed invention is for eliminating the situation that a plurality of data which are referred to by a certain instruction cannot be read at the same time in one execution cycle. In the claimed invention, a plurality of data sets, each of which is simultaneously referred to in the same execution cycle by memory reference to be performed in accordance with an arithmetic instruction, are respectively assigned to different memory banks. It is apparent that Kolchinsky does not disclose such assignment of data sets to respective memory banks, as claimed.

Based on the foregoing, Applicants submit that Kolchinsky does not teach an information processing method including all the limitations recited in independent claim 1. Since the above discussion is applicable to independent claims 6, 9, 11, 16 and 19, it is submitted that those independent claims are not anticipated by Kolchinsky. Dependent claims 7, 10, 17 and 20 are also patentably distinguishable over Kolchinsky at least because those claims respectively

Applicants presume that the Examiner intended to reject these claims under 35 U.S.C. §102(b).

Application No.: 10/687,644

include all the limitations recited in independent claims 6, 9, 16 and 19. Applicants, therefore, respectfully solicit withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1, 6, 7, 9-11, 16, 17, 19 and 20 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) and favorable consideration thereof.

IV. The Rejection of Claims 2-5, 8, 12-15 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 2, 5, 8, 12, 15 and 18 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolchinsky and Lewchuk et al.; claims 3 and 13 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolchinsky, Lewchuk et al. and Chin; and claims 4 and 14 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Kolchinsky, Lewchuk et al. and Idleman.

In response, claims 2-5, 8, 12-15 and 18 are patentably distinguishable over the cited references at least because these claims respectively include all the limitations recited in independent claims 1, 6, 11 and 16. It is specifically noted that Lewchuk et al., Chin and Idleman do not disclose that a plurality of data sets, each of which is simultaneously referred to in the same execution cycle by memory reference to be performed in accordance with an arithmetic instruction, are respectively assigned to different memory banks.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully solicit withdrawal of the rejection of claims 2-5, 8, 12-15 and 18 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) and favorable consideration thereof.

V. Information Disclosure Statements

Applicants note that the Information Disclosure Statements filed October 20, 2003, and October 28, 2005, have not been acknowledged. Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to clarify the record by acknowledging receipt of the IDSs and provide copies of the PTO-1449

Application No.: 10/687,644

form appropriately initialed indicating consideration of the cited references. Attached are copies

of the IDS filed October 20, 2003 and October 28, 2005 for the Examiner's information.

VI. Conclusion

It should, therefore, be apparent that the imposed rejections have been overcome and that

all pending claims are in condition for immediate allowance. Favorable consideration is,

therefore, respectfully solicited.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 is

hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper,

including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 500417 and please credit any excess fees to

such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,

McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

Michael E. Fogatty

Registration No. 36,139

Please recognize our Customer No. 20277

as our correspondence address.

600 13th Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20005-3096

Phone: 202.756.8000 MEF:TT

Facsimile: 202.756.8087 **Date: March 2, 2006**

WDC99 1203418-1.060188.0676

			4							
Applicant:	Ryoko MIYACHI, et al.		Dock	ket No60	188-676	ð	i i			
i				 .			3	,	NOT YET	
Title:	INFORMATION PROCESS	ING METHOD AND INFO	RMATION PROC	CESSOR		· · · · ·		teg./Patent No.	ASSIGNED	
Date Sent:		land Carried 🔲 Fa	ax 🔲 Electro	ronic	☐ Cert.	. of Mailing	Ex	xpress Mail No.	·	
	smittal Letter	□ Danian □ (Cont. CIP	P 🔲 Div.	- п	PCT []	3 BCE	☐ Prov		٠.
New F			Cont. CIP		_	bmitting	RCE	☐ Prov of drawings	-	
Otner:			logo				pages o Drawing Am	•		
4		awings NAR 0 2 7	100 R)			Oral Hearing	•	Glumon		
1		1080%	E/		Not. of Ap	•	9 □ Appeal	l Brief	Reply Brief	
49	· •	awings MA	ž/		•	Amendmen	—	_		
☐ Sm	mall Entity	tity STEVE & TRA	SENT .		Req. for F	Acknowledg	gement of Cit	ted Art	•	
_	eclaration/Power of Attorney	W a In			Issue Fee	a				
	ecordation of Assignment				Publicatio					
·⊠ Info	nformation Disclosure Statement				·					
• 1	Form PTO 1449					ance Fee for ress Indication	,	irs after grant	<u> </u>	
		ances		П			חנווים חכ		A STATE OF THE STA	
	reliminary Amendment esponse to Missing Parts Notice	۷								
	lesp. to Notice to Correct App. Pa			П	Status Inc		21101	S OCT 2 0	, <u> </u>	
	Certified Copy of Priority Doc.							PATERIA	1000 m	
	laim for Convention Priority				-			To a		
	\/AWh/							ABW		
☐ Re	equest for month Extensi	ion of Time								
Check for \$	B	Deposit Acct. 500417\$	1,068.00	Atty Init.	MEF	Tkpr.#	3328	Secy. or PL:	GVeppumthara	
0*** D		2.250.0	•					Se Se	vial	
CMS Descrip.: 1-770.00 3-258.00 4-40.00 Early_Scribs Number							-			
THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE DATE STAMPED HEREON IS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT THAT THE ITEMS. CHECKED ABOVE, WERE RECEIVED BY THE PTO ON THE DATE STAMPED.										

.

Applicant: Ryoko MIYACHI, et al.	Docket No. 060188-0676	Serial No. 10/687,644							
Title: INFORMATION PROCESSING METHOD AND INFORMATION	INFORMATION PROCESSING METHOD AND INFORMATION PROCESSOR Patent No.								
Date Sent: 10/28/2005 Mand Carried Fax Ele	ectronic	Express Mail No.							
New Patent App 🔲 Utility 🔲 Design 🔲 Cont.	☐ CIP ☐ Div. ☐ PCT ☐ RCE	Prov							
Other:		of drawings							
pages of Specification	pages of Specification Req. for Approval of Drawing Amendments Reg. for Oral Hearing								
pages of Claims	pages of Claims Req. for Oral Hearing								
pages of Abstract	Not. of Appeal Appeal Rule 312 Amendment/Letter	1 Brief Brief							
	<i>A A A A A A A A A A</i>	and Art. O' 44							
Small Entity	Req. for Acknowledgement of Ci	TEU AIT							
Declaration/Power of Attorney	TRADE								
Recordation of Assignment/Security Agreement Information Disclosure Statement	Den for Continued								
1 Form PTO 1449	= \ \								
1 copies of cited references	Fee Address Indication Form	The state of the s							
Preliminary Amendment									
Response to Missing Parts Notice									
Resp. to Notice to Correct App. Papers	Resp. to Notice to Correct App. Papers								
Certified Copy of Priority Doc.	Certified Copy of Priority Doc.								
Claim for Convention Priority	Claim for Convention Priority								
Response/Amendment to Office Action of									
Request for month Extension of Time									
Check for \$ Charge Deposit Acct. 500417 \$ 0.	0.00 Atty Init. MEF Tkpr. # 3328	Secy. or PL: GVeppumthara							
CMS Descrip.:									
THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE DATE STAMPED HEREON IS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT THAT THE ITEMS, CHECKED ABOVE, WERE RECEIVED BY THE PTO ON THE DATE STAMPED.									